NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

	Mastery (16-20)	Near Mastery (11-15)	Remediation (6-10)	Intervention (0-5)
Content 1 2	<ol> <li>(12 pts possible)         <ul> <li>Question provokes critical thinking</li> <li>Student answers the question and has a clear understanding of topic</li> <li>The content contributes to student understanding of 21st Century interdisciplinary themes</li> </ul> </li> <li>Flow (4 pts possible)</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Question</li> <li>Question is simple; it is "google-able"</li> <li>Student includes information and ideas</li> <li>The content somewhat contributes to student understanding of 21st Century interdisciplinary themes</li> <li>Flow</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Question</li> <li>Question is "yes/no" or common knowledge</li> <li>The information included does not fit into a specific theme</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Question</li> <li>No question is introduced</li> <li>No theme introduced</li> </ul>
3	<ul> <li>Natural flow of introduction, main ideas, and conclusion is present</li> <li>3. Sources (4 pts possible)</li> <li>3 or more references are cited, using proper citation (MLA)</li> <li>The sources are reliable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The flow of introduction, main ideas and conclusion does not transition well</li> <li>Sources         <ul> <li>2 reliable references are cited</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The content displays minimal level of organization</li> <li>Sources         <ul> <li>1 reliable reference</li> <li>Some sources are unreliable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The content lacks any organization</li> <li>Sources</li> <li>0 references cited</li> </ul>
Creativity 4	<ul> <li>4. Medium (12 pts possible)</li> <li>Student takes risks with multimedia during the process of creation</li> <li>Aesthetics are appropriate for the topic and audience (photos, video, music, backgrounds, fonts, etc.)</li> <li>Technology contributes significantly to convey the intended meaning.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Medium</li> <li>Information overwhelms the audience</li> <li>Aesthetics are appropriate for the topic and audience (photos, video, music, backgrounds, fonts, etc.)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Medium</li> <li>Simplistic, lacking vital information in presentation</li> <li>Does not use any "bells and whistles" (use of links, use of transitions, use of video and audio, etc.)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Medium</li> <li>Basic, no effort is made to personalize and customize the presentation</li> </ul>
5	<ul> <li>5. Originality (8 pts possible)</li> <li>Student adapts/extends/transforms a new idea</li> <li>Product shows innovation: fresh, original and inventive idea(s)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Originality</li> <li>Shows some evidence of inventiveness but is based on an extensive collection of other people's ideas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Originality</li> <li>No evidence of new thought—A minimal collection of other people's ideas.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Originality</li> <li>Collected ideas do not support topic, do not support the content question</li> </ul>
Critical Thinking 6	<ul> <li>6. Relevance (10 pts possible)</li> <li>Student clearly explains why the topic matters using personal perspective (avoid plagiarism)</li> <li>7. Written Reflection (10 pts possible)</li> <li>The reflection addresses strengths and weaknesses in the student's</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Relevance</li> <li>Student explains why the topic matters, but does not give personal perspective</li> <li>Written Reflection-</li> <li>The reflection addresses some strengths and weaknesses in the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Relevance</li> <li>Student vaguely explains why the topic matters</li> <li>Written Reflection-</li> <li>The reflection is missing the strengths or weaknesses in the student's PBL process, and includes an</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Relevance</li> <li>Student does not explain why the topic matters</li> <li>Written Reflection</li> <li>The reflection vaguely addresses strengths or weaknesses and/or</li> </ul>

7	PBL process, including project limitations, and a plan for improvement	student's PBL process, and a plan for improvement	undeveloped plan for improvement.	does not include a plan for improvement
Collaboration 8	<ul> <li>8. Use of outside source (5 pts poss.)</li> <li>Student interviews &amp; gathers information from an expert, student uses a primary source, and/or pursues a source that gives the project credibility</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Use of an outside source</li> <li>Student interviews and gathers information from an expert or uses a primary source, and/or pursues a source that gives the project credibility.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Use of an outside source</li> <li>Student uses a source that is not an expert in the field</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Use of an outside source</li> <li>Student does not use an expert or primary source</li> <li>Midpoint Feedback</li> </ul>
	9. Midpoint Feedback (5 pts possible)	Midpoint Feedback	Midpoint Feedback	<ul> <li>Student does not</li> </ul>
9	<ul> <li>Student collaborates with a teacher and implements all revisions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student collaborates with teacher and implements some suggested</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student collaborates with teacher but does not revise work</li> </ul>	collaborate with teacher or revise work
10	<ul> <li>10. Final Feedback (5 pts possible)</li> <li>Student reflects on all peer assessment in written reflection</li> </ul>	revisions <b>Final Feedback</b> • Student reflects on some peer assessment in written reflection	<ul> <li>Final Feedback</li> <li>Student reflects minimally on peer feedback in written reflection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Final Feedback</li> <li>Student does not address peer feedback in written reflection</li> </ul>
11	<ul> <li>11. Group/Team/Self (5 pts possible)</li> <li>Students utilize strengths and support weaknesses of one another</li> <li>Student seeks out advice and gathers information from a peer(s)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Group/Team/Self</li> <li>Students attempts to utilize strengths of group members</li> <li>Student seeks out advice and gathers information from a peer(s)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Group/Team/Self</li> <li>Student has minimal interaction with peers</li> <li>Student does not seek advice from others</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Group/Team/Self</li> <li>Student works in isolation or causes dissention and disruption in group</li> </ul>
Communication Oral Presentation 12	<ul> <li>12. Flow (7 pts possible)</li> <li>Includes clear introduction, transitions and conclusion about topic (including a "call to action")</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Flow</li> <li>Includes clear introduction of self and topic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Flow</li> <li>Includes an introduction of self and topic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Flow</li> <li>An element of introduction, topic, or self is missing</li> </ul>
13	<ul> <li>13. Articulation (7 pts possible)</li> <li>Presenter speaks knowledgeably about the information, appropriate delivery (posture, movement, gesture)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Articulation</li> <li>Presenter speaks knowledgeably about the information</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Articulation</li> <li>Presenter displays lack of knowledge about the information (relies on visual aid)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Articulation</li> <li>Presenter makes one or more mistakes on the knowledge of the topic</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>14. Interaction (6 pts possible)</li> <li>Engages the audience (eye contact, appropriate volume, answering questions)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Interaction</li> <li>Establishes eye contact with audience</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Interaction</li> <li>Minimal eye contact, hard to understand</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Interaction</li> <li>No eye contact with audience, talks to screen or floor, hard to hear presentation</li> </ul>

TOTAL SCORE \_\_\_\_\_/100 GRADE \_\_\_\_\_